

America Deserves Nixon

America needs a change of face. The White House needs a change of face. And the man who provides the most changing of faces is Richard M. Nixon, my choice for President. Mr. Nixon will surely offer those who vote for him the type of leadership they deserve. Unfortunately, many Americans bitterly criticize the man, basing their criticisms on his character, past record, and present policy stands. Horsefeathers! Everyone knows he lacks all three. Let us investigate the falsehoods levied against the former Vice President.

First, critics charge that Nixon lacks an independent mind, that he does not think for himself. Horseradish! Nixon IS his own man. For example, his political opinions have never been influenced by his Quaker religion, which holds strong pacifist beliefs. In fact, his foreign policy stands consistently exclude all such beliefs.

Many commentators have cast aspersions on the candidate's choice of Spiro Agnew for Vice President. Oh, turkey trot! Here Nixon shows his faith in the American Dream by proving that any common citizen can achieve the nation's second-highest office. Indeed, Mr. Agnew is one of the most common citizens around.

Liberals have expressed fears that as President Nixon will choose conservatives to fill Supreme Court vacancies. Pure balderdash! Strom Thurmond will choose the conservatives.

Civil rights advocates say that a Nixon Administration will be anti-Negro and will damage the quest for equality. Pure balderdash! His Administration won't bother with the blacks at all.

Many left-wingers feel dissatisfied with both major party nominees, believing that both men are bad choices. Oh, polys! Even if both candidates seem like bad choices, Nixon definitely represents the best. Undoubtedly, he is one of the best bad choices ever. The same left-wingers charge that Nixon dislikes beards. Holy hemorrhoids! Those past administrations which he most admires have had bearded Presidents! Yes, Nixon will try to emulate the integrity of Grant's administration, the progressiveness of Garfield's, and the national unity of Lincoln's.

Fellow Americans, for the past eight years our nation has had crime, violence, racism, disunity, and war. NOW, we can have Nixon!

by Mark Sabu Persky

Need For A Change

The present Selective Service System needs several radical changes. First, the fact that Lewis B. Hershey is separated by two generations from the men he is selecting for war poses an interesting question. The generation gap provides enough misunderstanding between parents and offspring; how, then, can a man in his middle seventies adequately understand the men whose lives he interrupts? Such a massive generation gap make Hershey about as fit to be Selective Service Director as Eugene McCarthy is fit to be president. (McCarthy is so far removed from reality (due to his war views) that he makes Neville Chamberlain look like a war monger.)

Actually, the entire Selective Service System should be disbanded during periods of peace. A mercenary army should be substituted.

American society has changed substantially in the past twenty-five years. Why is our entire generation against the draft, while almost all of the last generation was not nearly as rebellious toward military service? Quite probably, our generation is more idealistic, due to better living conditions and better education. The United States would be doing itself a great justice if men with professional talents could avoid military service, while the gung-ho high school drop-outs and gung-ho high school graduates could join a mercenary army because of the attractive package deal of adventure and money. And then, in the case of national emergency, the majority of our generation would not mind fighting for a cause that absolutely needed everyone for victory. Perhaps, there would be even fewer deaths with mercenaries doing the majority of the fighting, due to their more highly developed combat skills.

Concerning Vietnam, the idea of halting Communistic aggression has been a noble objective. However, the United States has needlessly lost both American lives and respect for America itself from other countries by having failed to conclude the war. If Johnson had only advocated a more vigorous U.S. military offensive, the North Vietnamese would have seen the futility of their efforts, and would have talked peace.

Toward the end of World War II, Japan did not wish to talk peace. After one atomic bomb, she still did not want peace. But after the second bomb, Japan was more than willing to talk peace. Curtis Lemay has the right idea; if the U.S. will only practice a more aggressive military policy toward North Vietnam, the Paris Peace Talks will be a success for the U.S.

The complete bombing halt will be a failure for America. After the Communists have used the blessing of explosive-free skies to prepare their offensive, they will invent some grievance against the U.S. Then they will launch their offensive, while at the same time call the U.S.A. negotiation destroyer (publicly), and a damn fool (privately).

There will always be war. As long as people exist, there will be war. If anyone thinks there will ever be long-lasting international peace, he is a poor analyst of human nature. If you think that peace can be had throughout the world, why not start right now in Hartford? Start a movement to take the locks off doors. After all, you believe in peace and that everyone is kind and good. It's the same principle.

by Peter Cunningham, Staff Conservative

Letters to the Editor

To the UoH Liberated Press: My faith in our student body as a group of "concerned" individuals is greatly restored. The Bloodmobile held on Monday was successful with at least 112 men and women, most of them students, turning out to be donors. We actually received 88 pints.

Some who showed up were unable to be donors, due to various medical factors (underweight, severe colds, etc.). This is normal at any Bloodmobile.

One of the major reasons for rejection of donors this time, however, was the lack of parental permission for some of our students under 21 years of age. We know that quite a few more than the 112 who were recorded at the registration desk did not register when they found out about the age requirement and realized they didn't have the proper form signed by a parent. We hope these students will have forms signed before the next Bloodmobile.

We were very pleased to see a relatively large percentage of "first-timers". Most of these students (and a few faculty and staff) who had not previously donated, were pleasantly surprised that giving blood isn't difficult.

Several women students told me they were "frightened to death" when they walked in, but said "it didn't hurt, and I'll be a donor again".

My personal congratulations and thanks to all students, faculty and staff who came to the Physical Education Center Monday to donate blood. Special thanks to Meredith Hall, president of the Biology Club and campus captain for the Bloodmobile (also a "first-timer"!).

And last, but not least, thanks to the UoH Liberated Press and WWUH-FM for their help in promoting the event. (My appreciation to Jack Hardy, especially, for running my statement on the editorial page last week.)

To those of you who didn't want to "get involved" this time, we hope you will find the courage and conviction by the time the next Bloodmobile is held on campus in the spring.

Elisabeth R. Swain
Chairman, Biology Department
Bloodmobile Recruitment
Chairman

Dear Sir:

I would like to answer two letters printed in last week's paper.

Miss Stern:

I think your professor has a point. Two thirds of what you wrote was unclear and three quarters was unnecessary. Individuality is a lot more powerful if it is coherently expressed.

Miss Carvis:

True, Mr. Hardy has not been appointed god (but don't put any ideas in his head) -- he is however, editor of the newspaper and in that capacity has the right to print opinion on any university activity. You say that he should start "writing about things that mean more to the larger portion of students;" what is more important to the university as a whole than its representation in a national publication?

In your attack on the opinion itself you admit that there are "some names on the list that might leave room for question." This list is supposed to catalog excellent individuals. If it is to have any value, all the names must be beyond question. In this case a majority won't do. Unless the University is to be represented by mediocrity, the committee must do a complete job or refuse to submit any names at all.

Sincerely,
Alice Therrieu

Editor:

I wonder if the perpetrators of Homecoming Weekend are aware of the most monumental by-product of their frivolities -- an astounding mess in the northeast

section of the campus which is quickly spreading in all directions. After parading their pretty floats around, the students wheeled them into the dirt clearing on the campus periphery and left them there (perhaps hoping that they would quietly disappear?). Well, fellas, they don't disappear. THOUSANDS of pieces of colored paper are being wind-whipped toward the campus proper. You'll soon find them against fences, curbs, and buildings, an unsightly dispersion over what is normally a clean, well-kept campus. Also, in the grassy areas around this particular clearing are scores of beer cans, which I imagine were emptied by the energetic lads who needed that Budweiser "get up and go" to accomplish a feat of such great proportions. I humbly suggest that those responsible for the floats assume double responsibility for the litter, and take it upon themselves to clean it up.

Al Grillo

To the Editor:

Mr. Nisula ought to practice what he preaches. I do not have the space to convince him of the correctness of my position in our dispute so I will simply ask him to submit to the logic of his own argument and defer to my authority in this entire matter.

Dr. Darryl B. Baskin (Ph.D.)

P.S. As "the authority" I of course get the last word.

'Dear' Editor:

Although you do not write the bulk of the articles that appear in the so-called U.H. News I hold you personally responsible for the content since you claim to be the editor.

It is indeed regrettable that your newspaper (?) is the only one distributed on campus and is thus taken by many to be representative of the attitudes, feelings and VOCABULARY of the entire student body. Your perverted thrill at seeing four-letter words in newsprint is at best disgusting.

I feel safe in stating that nearly everyone in the School of Business does not want to be associated in any way, shape or form with your weekly publications of profane trash. Please don't be misled -- your use of certain words is not my only objection.

Your articles on national topics are poorly written, researched and viciously slanted whenever possible in an obvious attempt to degrade our capitalistic system. I will admit that capitalism as we know it is not perfect but it is far superior to any other system existing in the world today.

In case you decide in a weak moment to print my comments, I would like to take this opportunity to make it known to all readers that the garbage found in the U.H. News-Liberated Press represents only the excretions of a half dozen warped and misguided cranial cavities.

Never Yours,
Thomas D. Kolouch

To the fuckin' editor of the fuckin' UH News Liberated Press,

Kindly take your fuckin' newspaper and shove it up your fuckin' ass.

Sincerely,
A fuckin' reader

An open letter to the students of U-Hah,

I would like, on behalf of the University of Hartford Soccer Team, to thank all of you for your enthusiastic support. I know the great effort it takes to walk to the gym, and how difficult it is to support a losing team (look at the Mets or last year's football club). I know it was tough to find out when the games were, since the chairman of the Sports Promotion Commission "forgot" to publicize our games. (Friday; Me: "We have a game Saturday, why are the posters up for football and

not for soccer?" Him: "Gee, I must have overlooked it on my list, I'm sorry." Me: "Well, we have another home game Tuesday. Do you think you can get some signs up for that?" Him: "I'll try." Tuesday; Me: "Hey, I notice there aren't any posters up for today's game, what's the story?" Him: "Too bad about that, isn't it?"

I know how hard it was to cheer when there were no cheerleaders to lead you. (Me: "Hey, there's a soccer game Saturday. Are the cheerleaders going to be there?" Her: "I don't know. Talk to one of the co-captains. Besides, the freshmen cheerleaders will be there." Me: "Yeah, but we're a varsity sport. If a club can have varsity cheerleaders at its games, why can't the only fall varsity sport?")

So four freshmen cheerleaders showed up for two games (after I told them that games are on Saturday, not Sunday) and sat around out of uniform.

I remember especially the game with Colby College, from Waterville, Maine. It was so good to see the spectators outnumbering our 18-man soccer team. Unfortunately, those spectators came with the 35-man Colby team, but it was nice to have fans. We lost that game 3 to 1, against a team that had tied last year's co-champions in the previous game. Even though we lost the game, it made us feel good to hear the Colby coach tell our coach that we were one of the hardest fighting teams they had played and that he was really sweating the entire game.

The climax of our season was, of course, the Homecoming game. Last year's Homecoming game with Trinity was played under in-temperate conditions. It had rained all morning and it was still cold and damp when the game started. So no one could really blame the fans and the cheerleaders when they left at half-time. Except that the Trinity fans outnumbered ours 3 to 1 at the beginning of the game and stayed for the entire game.

This year's homecoming game was different. We had a warm, sunny day. Everyone followed the float parade out to the gym parking lot. But I have a few questions. Why did the Homecoming Chairman turn the parade around and send it back to the Faculty parking lot? Because that's where it was supposed to end and someone made a mistake by bringing it to the gym. O.K. Then why did he try to prevent 3 soccer players from driving to the gym so they could suit up for the game?

Why did the cheerleaders leave at half time? Because they were cold and wet? I doubt it. Because they thought the game was over? I doubt it. These may have been plausible excuses last year, but not now.

Why did the fans leave? Because it was a one-sided game? Not hardly. Because it was a dull, unexciting game? Exactly the opposite. Because they only came to hear who won the float contest and don't give a damn about the 18 men who run their asses off for 3 hours a day in practice and for 88 minutes during a game trying to bring some honor to the school? I'm afraid so.

I've played soccer for three years. Three years of not celebrating on Friday night. Three years of not seeing the Homecoming Parade because I was suiting up for the game Saturday. Three years of supporting and promoting a game which is the national sport of most other countries of the world and one which I feel is a more exciting and I know is a far more grueling sport than football.

After 3 years I am finally pissed off about playing for a school that doesn't care. I asked someone why they didn't come to a soccer game. "Who wants to see a losing team?" is the answer. Well, a team needs some backing by the student body. Why is it that Bates, a school of 1,000, can draw some 350 students to watch a soccer game on a Wednesday afternoon at 2 when we can't draw that many at Homecoming? Do the students

((Continued on page 4))